

Application No.: 10/767,029
Amendment dated: February 6, 2006
Reply to Restriction Requirement dated: January 4, 2006

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In the Restriction Requirement dated January 4, 2006, the Examiner asks the Applicant to elect a group of the claims as the inventions are distinct, each from the other. Applicants have elected with traverse claims 1-13 and 27-30 (Group I) and cancelled claims 14-26 (Group II).

Request for Allowance

It is believed that this Amendment places the application in condition for allowance, and early favorable consideration of this Amendment is earnestly solicited.


If, in the opinion of the Examiner, an interview would expedite the prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned attorney at the telephone number listed below.

The Office is hereby authorized to charge any fees, or credit any overpayments, to
Deposit Account No. 11-0600.

Respectfully submitted,

KENYON & KENYON LLP

Dated: February 6, 2006

By: 
Stephen T. Neal
(Reg. No. 47,815)

KENYON & KENYON LLP
333 West San Carlos St., Suite 600
San Jose, CA 95110

Telephone: (408) 975-7500
Facsimile: (408) 975-7501

82054_1.DOC

- 6 -